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## Introduction

$>$ The pp reference (for ions) run must be made at 2.51 TeV to match the 6.37 TeV ion run
> The experiments would like to accumulate $20-40 \mathrm{pb}^{-1}$ in the little available time (the first week of ions, between Tuesday evening and the end of the week)
> Many bunches - using the 25 ns beam seems the logical choice, number of bunches ~1600 not to over-stress cryo
$>$ Squeeze - combined Ramp\&Squeeze worked smoothly in MD1 we propose to use it and squeeze by a factor 2 (if $\beta^{*}$ is not too low, optics correction should not be an issue)


## Needs

$>$ All settings to be defined - PC, RF, ADT, COLL:
> Combined Ramp\&Squeeze (squeeze between 1 TeV and 2.45 TeV )
> Spool ramp (no RCS.A78B2), with dedicated b3 decay compensation at flat-top (linear scaling used, as guess)
> Tune change \& Collisions beam processes
> Ramp-down (dedicated to ensure magnetic compensation of dynamic effects, thus avoiding re-calibration of FiDel)
$>$ Truncated copy of corrections for the whole cycle
> Dedicated sequence

| Matched Pt | Time (s) | IR1/5 | IR2 | IR8 |  | Name |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | 0 | 11.00 | 10.00 | 10.00 | R2015a_A11mC11mA10mL10m_INJ | Energy |
| 2 | 60 | 11.00 | 10.00 | 10.00 | R2015a_A11mC11mA10mL10m_INJ | 500 |
| 3 | 120 | 11.00 | 10.00 | 10.00 | R2015a_A11mC11mA10mL10m_INJ | 600 |
| 4 | 200 | 11.00 | 10.00 | 10.00 | R2015a_A11mC11mA10mL10m_INJ | 1000 |
| 5 | 290 | 9.00 | 10.00 | 9.00 | R2015a_A900C900A10m_0.00950L900_0.00934 | 1200 |
| 6 | 380 | 7.00 | 10.00 | $\mathbf{8 . 0 0}$ | R2015a_A700C700A10m_0.00950L800_0.00919 | 1300 |
| 7 | 500 | 4.00 | 10.00 | $\mathbf{7 . 0 0}$ | R2015a_A400C400A10m_0.00950L700_0.00906 | 2450 |
| 8 | 530 | $\mathbf{4 . 0 0}$ | $\mathbf{1 0 . 0 0}$ | $\mathbf{7 . 0 0}$ | R2015a_A400C400A10m_0.00950L700_0.00906 | 2510 |

### 2.51 TeV cycle

eseries Chart between 2015-10-29 09:30:00.000 and 2015-10-29 14:00:00.000 (LOCAL_TIME)



Length of cycle phases does not reflect reality (logged data from first commissioning phase)

## Parameters

## Long Range separations in collision



## Courtesy of

 T.PieloniAt IP1\&5 separations are larger than in normal operation and these two Ips are the main contributors
IP2 \& 8 have reduced separations all above $20 \sigma$

| Parameter | Value |
| :--- | :--- |
| Separation | $\mathbf{2 ~ m m ~ ( a l l ~ I P s ) ~}$ |
| Crossing angle | $\mathbf{1 7 0}$ urad (1/2 Xing, all IPs) <br> If aperture needed, it can be reduced to $\mathbf{1 4 0}$ urad (in IR1 and IR5) |

## Commissioning with pilot



## > Injected 1 pilot/beam

> Ramped\&Squeezed:

- Few setting mismatches found and fixed
- Typical $\mathbf{Q}$ variations between matched points (large $\left(\sim 2 \times 10^{-2}\right)$ for QHB1 between 9 m and 4 m , already observed during CRS MD)

Typical signature of


## Commissioning with pilot...continued


$>$ Coupling measured and corrected
> Q‘ measured (~1.5 unit not-compensated decay observed)
$>$ Orbit cleaning done
$>$ Optics measured
> Q change done
$>$ Beams brought to collisions
$>$ Q' measured (nothing abnormal observed)
> Ramp-down tested
> Q' decay @injection (after special ramp-down) checked - well compensated (powering history dependency excluded from the model)

## Orbit, Q, Q' and coupling fed-forwarded offline

## Optics @4m (2.51 TeV)



## $\beta$-beating below 20\%

Corrections calculated and available if requested

LHCB2 2.51 TeV




Courtesy of the optics team

## Remaining commissioning breakdown



## Nominal cycle

> Cycle with nominal bunches
$>$ Orbit cleaning
> Q \& Q' decay (re)meas @FT
> Collimators alignment:
> @FT:

- TCTs alignment
- IP7 and IP6 check
> @COLL:TCT alignment
Probe cycle (if time left)
> High Q' settings meas all along the cycle


## Intensity ramp-up

(1 fill/step), proposal:

- 50 bunches
- 500 bunches
- 1600 bunches


## Tentative planning

| SHIFT | Cycle n\# | ACTIVITY | TIME <br> REQUIRED |
| :---: | :---: | :--- | :---: |
| Sometimes in <br> the week-end <br> (Sat N / Sun M) | $1 / 2$ | COLL alignment @FT \& @COLL <br> If time left, cycle with pilot for high <br> Q' settings check | 6 hours |
| Tuesday N <br> (switch back to p <br> around 6pm) | 3 | All LMs @COLL + Ramp-down |  |
| Wednesday M | 4 | All LMs @FT + Ramp-down | 8 hours |
| Wednesday A | 5 | Physics fill with 50 bunches | 8 hours |
| Wednesday N | 6 | Physics fill with 500 bunches | 8 hours |
| Thursday to <br> Sunday | $8 \ldots$ | Physics fill with $\sim 1600$ bunches |  |

Each LM fill has one entire shift allocated to account for contingencies

## Conclusions

$>$ First part of the 2.51 TeV commissioning is done, nothing unexpected found
$>1$ cycle with nominal bunches is needed to complete commissioning and align collimators + 2 cycles for loss maps
$>$ About 3 cycles needed for intensity ramp-up
$>$ Unless objections from the experiments 25 ns would be preferred over 50 ns (well established operational conditions)

